Physics Education Research:

a resource for educational transformation at a critical time

2nd Conference on Transforming Research in Undergraduate STEM Education *4 Jun 2012*



Noah Finkelstein Physics Department University of Colorado **Boulder** noah.finkelstein@colorado.edu



Physics Education Research group at CU-Boulder

Faculty:

Melissa Dancy Michael Dubson Noah Finkelstein Valerie Otero Kathy Perkins Steven Pollock Carl Wieman (on leave)

Teachers / Partners / Staff:

Shelly Belleau Jackie Elser, Trish Loeblein Molly Giulliano Susan M. Nicholson-Dykstra Sara Severance Emily Quinty Mindy Gratny, Kate Kidder John Blanco, Sam Reid Chris Malley, Jon Olson Oliver Nix, Nina Zabolotnaya



Funded by:

National Science Foundation William and Flora Hewlett Foundation American Association of Physics Teachers Physics Teacher Education Coalition American Institute of Physics American Physical Society National Math & Science Initiative Howard Hughes Medical Institute And generous donors like you.

Postdocs/ Scientists:

Charles Baily Danny Caballero Stephanie Chasteen Julia Chamberlain Katie Hinko Kelly Lancaster Emily Moore Ariel Paul Noah Podolefsky Benjamin Zwickl

Grad Students: Stephanie Barr Kara Gray May Lee Mike Ross Ben Spike Ben Spike Ben Van Dusen Bethany Wilcox



American Association of Physics Teachers The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation







Adam Blanford Adam Light Akira Miyake Alice Healy Anastasia Maines Andrea Bair Andrew Martin Angel Hoekstra Angela Bielefeldt Anne Bekoff Anne Dougherty Anne Gold Anne-Barrie Hunter Anne-Marie Hoskinson Anthony Bosman Ariel Paul Audrey Schaiberger Barbara Kraus **Barry Kluger-Bell** Ben Spike Ben Van Dusen **Benjamin Zwickel Bethany Wilcox Bill Wood Brian Argrow Brian Couch** Callie Pilzer Cathy Regan Chandra Turpen **Charles Baily Clayton Lewis** Colin Wallace

Corrie Colvin Danny Caballero Daria Kotys-Schwartz Jeffrey Shainline David Aragon David Webb **Derek Reamon Diane Sieber Dick McCrav** Don Cooper Donna Coccamise Doug Duncan Doug Haller Ed Johnsen Eleanor Waxman **Emly Moore** Enrique Lopez Eric Stade **Evelvn Puaa** Fran Bagenal **Garrett Nicodemus** Gene Glass George Ortiz Heather LewandowskiKelly Battin Hilarie Nickerson Hunter Close Ian Caldwell Ian Her Many Horses Ingrid Ulbrich Jana Watson-Capps Jane Meyers Jane Stout Janet Casagrand

Janet Tsai Jean Hertzberg Jenn Paul Glaser Jennifer Stempien Jenny Knight Jerry Rudy Jessica Gorski Jia Shi Jim Curry John Basev John Blanco John Falconer John Stocke Joshua Myatt Juan Ramirez Julia Chamberlain Kara Gray Kate Kidder Kathy Perkins Katie Hinko Katie Southard Kelly Lancaster **Kevin McElhaney** Kim Trenbath Krista Marshall Laird Kramer LaRuth McAfee Laura Border Lauren Kost-Smith Laurie Landon

Leilani Arthurs Lindsay Anderson Lorrie Shepard Louisa Harris Maegan Gilmour Margaret Asirvatham Marie Boyko Marina Cogan Marina Kogan Marina LaGrave Mary Ann Shea Mary Nelson Mateo de Valenzuela May Lee Melanie Yee Melinda Picket-May Melissa Dancy Michael Dubson Michael Wittman Mike Klymkowsky Mike Ross Miranda Rieter Nancy Guild Nathan Canney Noah Finkelstein Noah Podolefsky Okhee Lee **PJ Bennett Rachel Pepper Rob Tubbs Robert Parson** Robynn Lock

Roger Larson **Ryan Grover** Sam Reid Sandra Laurson Sara Brownell Sarah Wise Scott Franklin Seth Hornstein Seyitriza Tigrek Stacey Forsyth Stephanie Chasteen Stephanie Mollborn Stephanie Rivale **Stephen Butler** Steve Iona Steve Pollock Susan Hendrickson **Teresa Foley Tiffany Ito Travis** Lund Trish Loeblein **Tyler Schelpat** Ulaff (?) Benjamin Uma Swamy Valerie Otero Valerie Williams Victoria Hand Virginia Ferguson Wahab Baouchi physics pedagogy astronomy biology mathematics geology chemistry engineering Based

Education

Integrating STEM Education

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER

Re

Univ

What will 50m. get us?

National scene / need

Context as a newish & key tool in PER A few key studies from CU

How we all can build on these results...

Better education

U.S. ranks: 21 out of 30 in science 25 out of 30 in math - PISA 2006

580 563 560 542 540 534 532 531 531 530 527 Mean Test Score 525 522 520 500 489 480 460 440 Chinese Taipei Hongkongching Newlealand Netherlands Liechtenstein United States AUSTAIIS Finland Canada Estonia Japan

International Rankings (science)

Better education More and better teachers

2/3 Physics Out of Field Less than 50% stay



Better education More and better teachers More and better STEM grads

1 Million more STEM grads needed by 2018 and growing



Better education More and better teachers More and better STEM grads Higher education & research

US surpassed by Europe and Asia in S&E PhD production



A result of poor policy?



Not Just Historical



Physics Programs Face the Axe at Seven Texas Universities

By Michael Lucibella

Seven public universities in Texas are being told they have to phase out their physics undergraduate degrees, with three more being put on two-year probation. In an attempt to make the system more efficient, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB), which oversees Texas' 24 public universities, recently reviewed all of its public university's undergraduate programs that produced fied programs that produced fewer than an average of five undergraduates per year between 2006 and 2010 that they needed to reevaluate their programs by June. The programs that received a warning had the option to shut down altogether, combine their program with another degree or apply for a two-year temporary exemption to try and increase enrollment.

"What we are looking at is low producing programs," said Macacross the state found to be low producing, 307 requested temporary exemptions, 93 proposed a plan for consolidating degrees, and 145 offered to phase out their programs altogether. Eighty-seven of the requests for exemptions were denied.

Physics programs at Midwestern State, Prairie View A&M, Tarleton State, Texas Southern, University of Texas-Brownsville and West Texas A&M are all losing their

A Era of Significant Attention: the National Academies



A Era of Significant Attention: Congress & the White House

One Hundred Eleventh Congress of the United States of America



Winning the Race to Educate Our Children

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Education in the 2012 Budget

"Maintaining our leadership in research and technology is crucial to America's success. But if we want to win the future – if we want innovation to produce jobs in America and not overseas – then we also have to win the race to educate our kids."

President Barack Obama January 2011

Education, and Science Reauthorization Act of 2010".

A Era of Significant Attention: Professional Societies



Association of American Universities Five-Year Initiative for Improving Undergraduate STEM Education

September 14, 2011





Science & MATHEMATICS TEACHER IMPERATIVE



High Education & Disciplines: a key lever in education



Physics Education Research



Course Transformation: Engagement in Learning

traditional lecture interactive engagement



Pollock & Finkelstein, Physical Review, 4, 010101 (2008).



Students Attitudes and Beliefs Selecting vs. Breeding Physics Majors



PERC 2010, Perkins & Gratny



Designing Effective Simulations



Podolefsky, 2010 PRSTPER



Designing & studying effective Experimental labs

Modeling cycle in laboratory experiments (draft)

Benjamin Zwickl, Heather Lewandowski, Noah Finkelstein Physics Education Research Group at CU-Boulder



The pathways show how models are developed and used during the ubiquitous lab activity of comparing theoretical predictions with real data. The left half of the cycle represents the model of the measurement system, and is refined through calibration of the device. The right half of the cycle represents the model of the physical system.

examining the how and the why... focusing on context

Towards a Standard Model

A structure for thinking about thinking



Trad'l Model of Education

transmissionist

Built in to our classes?



PER Theoretic Background



PER Theoretic Background



Theoretical Framework

Contextual Constructivism

- i. tools mediate our understanding / cognitive processes
- ii. context shapes how we might use these tools



Finkelstein (2005), adapted from Cole, M. (1996), Cultural Psychology

Tools allow thought

A Story of Galileo: 6 theorems of a genius <u>Theorem</u>: If a moving particle, carried uniformly at constant time inte their dist (foll)



From diSessa (2000) Changing Minds

A 2nd Example



Meaning of tools

Evolutionary (biological):

And cultural:



Thinking in terms of tools

- Material or intellectual
- Historically rooted
- Come with predispositions of use
- Our capacities shapes our use of tools

Wartofsky, M. (1973). *Models*. Dordrecht: D. Reidel. Cole, M. (1996) *Cultural Psychology*

Embedded Context(s)

Frames of Context



Finkelstein, N. (2005). Int. J. Science Education.

A broad perspective



N.B. Hinkelstein, Fithel, Fither, Chrin, Phys. Rev. 54 phip E.C. Ret 29, Research 6, 020123 (2010). W.K. Pottoins, at al. Physical Teacher, 44 (19/18) (2008) (2008) (2008) N.K. Pottoins, at al. Physical Teacher, 44 (19/18) (2008) (2008) (2008) N.K. Potkelstein, Patschelsing, Physical Rev. St. Physics Ed. Research 4, 010110 (2008) (2005). N.K. Potkelstein & S.J. Pottock, Physical Review, St. PER, 1, 9 (6404, 2065) (2005).

Foregrounding Context in PER

Artifact Frames of Context	i. Tools	ii. Practices	iii. Norms
a. Individ'l	Representation Analogy PhET	Tch to Lrn Physics Labs Talking Physics	Class (beliefs) Interp in QM
b. Course	Sims in Class Clickers in Class Using Reps & Analogy	Course Redesign Clicker Use Tutorials	Tutorial Adaptation Tchng Interpret. Gender intervention
c. Depart'l	Faculty use of PER Frameworks of change	TA, PD, Fac Dvmt Community Partnr	Dept'l norms Partnership in Phys Inclusion

NSF 0448176, CAREER: 2005-2011.

Sample applications

Artifact Frame of context	i. Tools	ii. Practices	iii. Norms	
a. Individ'l	Representation &	Learning by teaching	CLASS- Student attitudes and beliefs (ABs)	
b. Course	Analogy, Ose m Transforming Courses: Impacts of Faculty Variation sion A Framework for models of STEM educational change			
c. Depart'l				
wi	th Chandra Turpen (20	10)	-8-	
NSF 0448176, CARE	With SPollock, with P. Kohl (2007) and with Andrea Bea ER: Physics Education and Cont	K. Perkins, H. Lewe N. Podolefsky (200 Ach & Charles Hene exts of Student Learning.	endowski, B. Zwickl 8) derson	

Sample applications

Artifact Frame of context	i. Tools	ii. Practices	iii. Norms
a. Individ'l	Representation	& learning by teaching	CLASS- Student attitudes and beliefs (ABs)
b. Course	S Analogy; Use U the Classroon	Inurse PracticesnClicker UseTutorials	Secondary adaptation of reforms
c. Depart'l	Faculty use of PER- based materials	Programs in grad, p.d., and fac prep CU STOMP	Influence of dept'l norms

with P. Kohl (2007) and N. Podolefsky (2008)

NSF 0448176, CAREER: Physics Education and Contexts of Student Learning.

Student reasoning using tools

Role of representation

Utility of analogies




Student competence given representational format

Atomic physics quiz



Kohl and Finkelstein (2005). Phys Rev, 1, 010104

Using Reps and Cueing



Podolefsky and Finkelstein, Phys Rev: ST PER (2006; 2007) Adapted from Roth and Bowen (1999)

Bohr's Atom



How do we connect representations, objects, schema?



blending & layering



Podolefsky and Finkelstein, Phys Rev: STPER (2007) Adapted from Fauconnier & Turner (2002)

Analogical Scaffolding Layering Blends to Make Meaning



Apply to curriculum: teaching abstract concept



EM Wave

- 3D
- Transverse
- Field
- Propagating



Podolefsky and Finkelstein (2006). Phys Rev, 2,2, 020101

The study Large scale study: calc-based physics, E/M modified *Tutorials in Intro Physics* Analogy (N=72) No-Analogy (N=74) Part I: Basic wave props String E/M Part II: Plane wave / 3D Sound E/M Part III: E/M wave as field E/M E/M (UW approach)

Pre / Post Assessment: rank time averaged signal at antennas



Results





Another Study- Which Reps? Large scale study: algebra-based physics, E/M modified *Tutorials in Intro Physics*

Part I: Basic wave props Part II: Plane wave / 3D Part III: E/M wave as field

Pre / Post Assessment: rank magnitude of E-field, free response

(String)

(Sound)

(E/M)



Abstract

Sine

Sine

Sine

Blend

Sine+Pictorial

Sine+Pictorial

Sine+Pictorial

AS Model of Representations



Results



Podolefsky and Finkelstein, Physical Review: ST PER, 3,2,020104 (2007). more at: per.colorado.edu/analogy

Sample applications

Theme Frame of contex t	i. Tools	ii. Practices	iii. Norms
a. Individ'l	Representation Analogy PhET	Learning by teaching	CLASS- Student attitudes and beliefs (ABs)
b. Course	Studies of Sims, Use of Reps and Anal Impac	Course Practices Clicker Use	Secondary adaptation of forms
c. Depart'l	Faculty us in based materials	n Peer Instruction	ice of dept'l norms

with Chandra Turpen (2010)

Common Model for Research



What happens in the classroom?

Focus on Implementation GOALS:

1. Identify variation in faculty practices

2. Document impact on:

- student opportunities,
- class norms,
- students' perceptions

Methods

- Student survey data
- Ethnographic Observations
- Audio-recorded files of observed classes
- Daily Clicker Records
- Course documents

Environment and Professors

• 3 undergraduate, large enrollment introductory calc-based physics courses.

• 3 Professors:

Yellow (Phys 1): Mentored, Experienced PI user Green (Phys 2): Novice PI user Red (Phys 3): Active in PER, Experienced PI user

Framing of PI by Instructors











Norm: Faculty-student collaboration

YELLOW: • Rarely (12% of the time) left the stage.

- Rarely (19% of the time) answered student questions
- Rarely (8% of the time) discussed with students
- Rarely (17% of the time) heard student explanations
- When heard student ex., heard from at least 2 students on average High collab.

Low collab.

GREEN:

- Rarely (11% of the time) left the stage.
- Occasionally (25% of the time) answered student questions
- Never discussed w/ students
- Always heard student explanations, Usually heard only one correct student explanation
- Usually quick to reveal correctness of student explanation

RED:

- Often (69% of the time) left the stage
- Often (63% of the time) answered student questions, Often (84% of the time) discussed with students
- Usually heard student explanations, and usually heard from multiple students
- Usually withheld expert evaluation of answer correctness until consensus developed

Faculty-Student Collaboration

Q4: Awkward to ask professor questions



Students Perceive Differences

	Yellow-Red	Green-Red	Yellow-Green
Q3: Comfort discussing			
Q4: Awkward			
Questions			
Q5: v Speak to Professor			
Q6: v Ask question			

Students Perceive Differences			
	Yellow-Red	Green-Red	Yellow-Green
Q3: Comfort discussing	R p=0.03		
Q4: Awkward Questions	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*		
Q5: Speak to Professor	<mark></mark> p<0.001*		
Q6: Ask question	p<0.001*		

Significant

Students Perceive Differences

	Yellow-Red	Green-Red	Yellow-Green
Q3: Comfort discussing	R p=0.03	R p=0.001*	
Q4: Awkward Questions	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*	p=0.002 *	
Q5: Speak to Professor	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*	<mark></mark> p<0.001*	
Q6: Ask question	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*	P<0.001 *	

Significant

Students Perceive Differences

	Yellow-Red	Green-Red	Yellow-Green
Q3: Comfort discussing	R p=0.03	P=0.001 *	p=0.03
Q4: Awkward Questions	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*	p=0.002 *	p=0.6
Q5: Speak to Professor	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*	<mark></mark> p<0.001*	G p=0.02
Q6: Ask question	<mark></mark> p<0.001*	<mark>₽</mark> ₽<0.001*	G p=0.03

Significant

Findings from PI Studies

- Faculty members can be distinguished based on their PI practices.
- Students are given different opportunities to engage in scientific practices.
- Differences in PI practices lead to different classroom norms.
- Students' perceive the classroom norms differently in these courses.

Sample applications

Theme Frame of contex t	i. Tools	ii. Practices	iii. Norms
a. Individ'l	Representation Analogy PhET	Learning by teaching	CLASS- Student attitudes and beliefs (ABs)
b. Course	Studies of Sims, Use of Reps and Analogies	Course Practices Clicker Use Tutorials	Secondary adaptation of reforms
c. Depart'l	Faculty use of A Fran	Proorams in orad nework for models educational chang	of STEM of dept'l ms

with Andrea Beach & Charles Henderson



Keeping the Good things Going: Study and Improvement of Change Strategies in STEM Education

Henderson, C., Beach, A., & Finkelstein, N. (2011) Facilitating Change in Undergraduate STEM Instructional Practices: An Analytic Review of the Literature, *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48 (8), 952-984.



Starting Point: Current State of Knowledge

- •We know a lot about:
 - effective teaching and learning of STEM subjects
 - how to apply this knowledge in individual classrooms

Now all ST massroom, roduce knowledg ble, skilled stunts who have positive atthes toward on the mode ...



The Big Question

How to encourage the spread of research-based ideas to all instructors/ classrooms?



•108 Different Journals

•Most Common:

- Innovative Higher Education (26 articles)
- Higher Education (21 articles)
- Journal of Research in Science Teaching (13 articles)
- Studies in Higher Education (12 articles)
- Change (10 articles)
- College Teaching (8 articles)
- Teaching in Higher Education (7 articles)
- Journal of Faculty Development (6 articles)



Categorized along two Important Dimensions

•1. What does the change effort intend to directly impact?

Individuals	Environments and Structures
personal characteristics of	impact characteristics of the
single individuals, such as	system such as rules, physical
beliefs, knowledge,	characteristics of the
behaviors, etc.	environment, norms, etc.


Categorized along two Important Dimensions

2. To what extent is the outcome prescribed in advance?

Prescribed Final State	Emergent Final State
final state is known at the beginning of process	final state is developed



Each Strategy has a Unique Emphasis

Emergent Final

Condition

DEVELOPING Curriculum & Pedagogy DEVELOPING Reflective Teachers

Prescribed Final Condition

> DEVELOPING Policy

DEVELOPING Shared Vision



All 265 Articles with Complete Citation Information

126 articles (47%) have no links

50 articles (18%) have 1 link









Articles in the largest cluster with three or more links (N=57)





Three Isolated Research Communities •Each has a different and important perspective.

 There is little interaction between groups and minimal interaction within groups
(Based on a citation analysis of articles in the data set.)



Each change strategy sees areas of influence of other strategies as outside of their control

Individuals





Each change strategy sees areas of influence of other strategies as outside of their control Individuals





Each change strategy sees areas of influence of other strategies as outside of their control

Individuals





Revisiting Colorado's I3 Approach



Program Activities – Theoretical Foundations





Sample applications

Theme Frame of contex t	i. Tools	ii. Practices	iii. Norms	
a. Individ'l	Representation &	Learning by teaching	CLASS- Student attitudes and beliefs (ABs)	
b. Course	the Classroor Transforming Courses: Impacts of Faculty Variation Sion			
c. Depart'l	Faculty us based mater A Framework for models of STEM educational change			
wi	th Chandra Turpen (20	10)		
NSF 0448176, CAREI	With SPollock, with P. Kohl (2007) and with Andrea Be ER: Physics Education and Cont	K. Perkins, H. Lewe N. Podolefsky (200 each & Charles Her exts of Student Learning.	endowski, B. Zwickl 8) nderson	

Don't Have a Standard Model But We do know about Student reasoning in physics Student practices Faculty use of tools practices and norms Course tools, practices, norms Departmental tools, practices, norms Institutional tools, practices, norms Generations

I'm Proud that the Sciences identify with DBER and education



We are the ones involved where it matters most



We are the ones involved where it matters most and it's catching ...





Much more at: *per.colorado.edu*